Evaluation of the impact of oral health on the quality of life of patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD)

# Avaliação do impacto da saúde bucal na qualidade de vida de pacientes com doença renal crônica (DRC)

DOI:10.34117/bjdv5n12-270

Recebimento dos originais: 07/11/2019 Aceitação para publicação: 18/12/2019

#### **Dyenily Alessi Sloboda**

Doutoranda em Enfermagem pela Escola de Enfermagem da Universidade de São Paulo Instituição: Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa – Pr Endereço: Av: Carlos Cavalcanti 4748, Uvaranas. Ponta Grossa – Pr - Bloco M sala 112, departamento de enfermagem e saúde pública Email: dyenilyas@hotmail.com

### Jeanny Franciela Kos Moleta

Mestre em Ciências Biomédicas pela Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa - Pr Instituição: Hospital Universitário dos Campos Gerais – Ponta Grossa, Pr Endereço: Alameda Nabuco de Araújo, 601 - Uvaranas, Ponta Grossa – Pr Email: jeannykos@hotmail.com

#### Lauryellen Aparecida Padilha

Mestre em Ciências Biomédicas pela Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa – Pr Email: lauryellen.padilha@gmail.com

### Paula Motta dos Santos

Mestre em Ciências Biomédicas pela Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa - Pr Instituição: Hospital Universitário dos Campos Gerais – Ponta Grossa, Pr Endereço: Alameda Nabuco de Araújo, 601 - Uvaranas, Ponta Grossa – Pr Email: motta.paula@hotmail.com

#### Fábio André dos Santos

Doutor em periodontia pela Universidade Estadual Paulista – Sp Instituição: Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa – Pr Endereço: Av: Carlos Cavalcanti 4748, Uvaranas. Ponta Grossa – Pr - Bloco M departamento de odontologia Email: fasantos11@gmail.com

### Juliana Regina Dias Mikowski

Doutora em Patologia pela Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro \_ Mg Instituição: Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa – Pr Endereço: Av: Carlos Cavalcanti 4748, Uvaranas. Ponta Grossa – Pr - Bloco M sala 112, departamento de enfermagem e saúde pública Email: profjulianaregina@gmail.com

### Carla Luiza da Silva

Doutora em Ciências pela Enfermagem pela Escola de Enfermagem da Universidade de São Paulo Instituição: Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa – Pr Endereço: Av: Carlos Cavalcanti 4748, Uvaranas. Ponta Grossa – Pr - Bloco M sala 112, departamento de enfermagem e saúde pública Email: clsilva21@hotmail.com

### **Gilberto Baroni**

Mestre em Cirurgia pela Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Paraná Instituição: Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa – Pr Endereço: Av: Carlos Cavalcanti 4748, Uvaranas. Ponta Grossa – Pr - Bloco M, departamento de medicina Email: gbaroni@superig.com.br

### **Gilson Cesar Nobre Franco**

Doutor em Odontologia Terapêutica Medicamentosa pela Universidade Estadual de Campinas – Sp Instituição: Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa – Pr Endereço: Av: Carlos Cavalcanti 4748, Uvaranas. Ponta Grossa – Pr - Bloco M departamento de

odontologia

Email: gilsoncnf@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

Quality of Life (QoL) is defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as subjective and multidimensional in nature. Studies have shown that oral condition may be a modifying factor for individuals QoL. **Objective:** To assess the impact of oral health on the QoL of patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) in hemodialysis. **Methods:** The present control-case study selected one hundred patients with CKD in hemodialysis, who were paired with one hundred control patients. General QoL and the impact of the oral health on QoL were evaluated through the Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) and the Oral Health Impact Profile-14 (OHIP-14), respectively. **Results:** The mean OHIP-14 for the control group was  $6.06 (\pm 7.44)$  and  $4.67 (\pm 6.52)$  for the CKD group. No statistically significant difference was observed between groups. **Conclusions:** Oral health had a low impact on the QoL of patients in the CKD group. A conception of multidisciplinary care in this group of patients may represent an increase not only in the treatment of the primary disease, but also in the general QoL of these patients.

Keywords: Quality of life. Oral health impact. Chronic Kidney Disease.

### RESUMO

Qualidade de Vida (QV) é definida pela Organização Mundial da Saúde (OMS) como de natureza subjetiva e multidimensional. Estudos demonstraram que a condição bucal pode ser um fator modificador para a QV dos indivíduos. Objetivo: Avaliar o impacto da saúde bucal na QV de pacientes com doença renal crônica (DRC) em hemodiálise. Métodos: O presente estudo de caso de controle selecionou cem pacientes com DRC em hemodiálise, que foram pareados com cem pacientes controle. A QV geral e o impacto da saúde bucal na QV foram avaliados por meio do Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) e do Oral Health Impact Profile-14 (OHIP-14), respectivamente. Resultados: A OHIP-14 média para o grupo controle foi de  $6,06 (\pm 7,44)$  e  $4,67 (\pm 6,52)$  para o grupo com DRC. Não houve diferença estatisticamente significante entre os grupos. Conclusões: A saúde bucal teve baixo impacto na QV dos pacientes do grupo com DRC. Uma concepção de atendimento multidisciplinar nesse grupo de pacientes pode representar um aumento não apenas no tratamento da doença primária, mas também na QV geral desses pacientes.

Palavras-chave: Qualidade de vida. Impacto na saúde bucal. Doença Renal Crônica.

### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Quality of Life (QoL) is defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as subjective and multidimensional in nature because it is influenced by several factors, such as individual perception of social, psychological and physical aspects, including the general health condition of the individual.

Studies have shown that being a carrier of a chronic disease may be enough to cause a significant deficit in QoL. Among the chronic disorders that may influence the QoL, there is chronic kidney disease (CKD), which is characterized by injury to the renal parenchyma and / or progressive reduction in renal function over a period greater than or equal to 3 months. Most forms of CKD are irreversible, progressive and composed of five stages which characterize its evolution (I, II, III, IV and V). The last stage of CKD (Stage V) corresponds to the terminal stage, in which there is a need for renal replacement therapy (hemodialysis). According to Khalil et al. (2017), this disease has become a global health problem affecting 10% of the adult population.

In addition, QoL can be influenced by oral health conditions. In this sense, in recent years the impact of oral health has been much studied and has gained importance in the literature. Oral condition can affect the communication, feeding, self-esteem, aesthetic and daily activities of individuals. Recent studies show that oral health can also act as a modifying factor for systemic conditions. Among the systemic conditions associated with oral health are chronic diseases such as diabetes, respiratory diseases, neurodegenerative diseases and CKD. In this way, instruments on QoL have been used for clinical evaluation in several diseases as a way to predict the progression of the disabilities they generate. The assessment of health-related QoL is essential for an assessment of the influence of health conditions and the impact of different treatments.

Currently, few studies assess QoL in patients with CKD on hemodialysis, and there is a shortage of studies that assess the direct influence of the impact (self-perception) of the oral condition on QoL in this specific population. Thus, the present study aims to evaluate the impact of oral health on QoL in patients with CKD in the terminal stage (Stage V) in dialysis treatment.

#### **2 METHODS**

This research was conducted in Hemodialysis Service of Hospital Santa Casa de Misericordia of Ponta Grossa (SCMPG) and was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the State University of Ponta Grossa – UEPG (Ponta Grossa/Paraná – Brazil) under the number 1.616.409. The project was authorized by the core education and research of the SCMPG under the number 481/2015.

#### 2.1 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN, PAIRING, INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION CRITERIA

This was an observational and cross-sectional study conducted in 2017 for 3 months (January, February and March) with two paired groups: 1) the CKD group (n = 100 participants) and 2) the control group (n = 100 participants). The inclusion criteria for the participants were age  $\ge 18$  years, both genders and diagnosis of CKD. Exclusion criteria included cognitive impairment that impaired understanding, severe motor incapacity and clinical instability.

The control group was formed of individuals without a diagnosis of CKD and respecting all other inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Both groups were paired by gender, age and number of teeth.

### 2.2 QUESTIONNAIRES USED IN THE STUDY

Demographic and educational data were obtained in a form specifically designed for the research and included name, age, sex, address and education level (classified as Illiterate/incomplete primary, complete primary/elementary incomplete, complete elementary/incomplete high school, complete high school/incomplete college, undergraduate degree). The classification of socioeconomic condition followed the criteria of the Brazilian Association of Research Companies, resulting in the assignment of the following economic classes: A1, A2, B1, B2, C, D and E.

The evaluation of the general QoL was performed using the SF-36 questionnaire (Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short Form Health Survey). The evaluation of the impact of oral health on QoL was assessed using the OHIP-14 questionnaire (Oral Health Impact Profile-Short Form).

#### 2.3 DATA ANALYSIS

The results were submitted to an exploratory analysis using BIOESTAT 5.01 software to determine the best statistical analysis of the data, adopting a significance level of 5% ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ). The groups were matched by t-student and chi-square tests. To compare the groups with respect to OHIP-14 and SF-36, the Mann–Whitney statistical test was used. Spearman's correlation was used to analyze OHIP-14 and dental characteristics (brushing, number of teeth and time of last visit to the dentist). The Cronbach's alpha coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) was used to evaluate the internal consistency of the questionnaires through the MedCalc software (version 16.8.4).

### **3 RESULTS**

Two hundred subjects, of which 100 were assigned to the control group and 100 were assigned to the CKD group, underwent structured interviews. The groups were paired according to age, gender and number of teeth. The mean age of the volunteers in the control group was  $54.93 \pm 12.91$  and in the disease group was  $55.18 \pm 13.02$ . In both groups, 51% were women and 49% were men. The

mean number of teeth for the control and disease groups was  $12.03 \pm 10.60$  and  $14.03 \pm 10.76$ , respectively.

The education level of both groups was mainly "primary school level incomplete," with a predominance of socioeconomic "C". Most of the sample group had fewer than 20 teeth: 73% in the control group and 61% of the CKD group, respectively. Evaluation of dental habits indicated that more than half of the volunteers went more than one year without visiting a dentist (69% in the control group and 54% in the CKD group). The vast majority reported a tooth-brushing frequency of three times per day. A complete description of the demographic data and dental habits can be found in Table 1.

n (%)         n (%)           Demografic Data $Age$ $\leq 60$ years $61 (61\%)$ $62 (62\%)$ > 60 years $39 (39\%)$ $38 (38\%)$ Gender $Female$ $51 (51\%)$ $51 (51\%)$ Male $49 (49\%)$ $49 (49\%)$ $49 (49\%)$ Educacional Level $IIIiterate/ Incomplete primary         26 (26\%) 29 (29\%)           Complete primary/ Incomplete         34 (34\%) 28 (28\%)           elementary         Undergraduate degree 23 (23\%) 19 (19\%)           college         Undergraduate degree 5 (5\%) 9 (\%)           Socioeconomic condition         A1 0 (0\%) (0\%)           A1         0 (0\%) 5 (5\%) 82           B1         0 (0\%) 5 (5\%) 82           B2         13 (13\%) 16 (16\%)           C         57 (57\%) 55 (55\%) $		CONTROL GROUP	CKD GROUP
Demografic Data           Age $\leq 60$ years $61$ ( $61\%$ ) $62$ ( $62\%$ )           > 60 years $39$ ( $39\%$ ) $38$ ( $38\%$ )           Gender         39 ( $39\%$ ) $38$ ( $38\%$ )           Female $51$ ( $51\%$ ) $51$ ( $51\%$ )           Male $49$ ( $49\%$ ) $49$ ( $49\%$ )           Educacional Level         26 ( $26\%$ ) $29$ ( $29\%$ )           Complete primary/ Incomplete $34$ ( $34\%$ ) $28$ ( $28\%$ )           elementary         26 ( $26\%$ ) $29$ ( $29\%$ )           Complete elementary/Incomplete high $12$ ( $12\%$ ) $15$ ( $15\%$ )           school         23 ( $23\%$ ) $19$ ( $19\%$ )           college         2 $19$ ( $9\%$ )           Male $0$ ( $0\%$ ) $(0\%)$ A1 $0$ ( $0\%$ ) $0$ ( $0\%$ )           A2 $1$ ( $1\%$ ) $3$ ( $3\%$ )           B1 $0$ ( $0\%$ ) $5(5\%)$ B2 $13$ ( $13\%$ ) $16$ ( $16\%$ )           C $57$ ( $57\%$ ) $55$ ( $55\%$ )			
Age $\leq 60 \text{ years}$ $61 (61\%)$ $62 (62\%)$ > 60 years $39 (39\%)$ $38 (38\%)$ Gender       Female $51 (51\%)$ $51 (51\%)$ Male $49 (49\%)$ $49 (49\%)$ Educacional Level       Illiterate/ Incomplete primary $26 (26\%)$ $29 (29\%)$ Complete primary/ Incomplete $34 (34\%)$ $28 (28\%)$ elementary $26 (25\%)$ $29 (29\%)$ Complete elementary/Incomplete $34 (34\%)$ $28 (28\%)$ elementary $23 (23\%)$ $19 (19\%)$ college $23 (23\%)$ $19 (19\%)$ college $23 (23\%)$ $19 (19\%)$ Male $0 (0\%)$ $(0\%)$ A1 $0 (0\%)$ $(0\%)$ A2 $1 (1\%)$ $3 (3\%)$ B1 $0 (0\%)$ $5 (5\%)$ B2 $13 (13\%)$ $16 (16\%)$ C $57 (57\%)$ $55 (55\%)$	Demografic Data		
$ \leq 60 \text{ years} & 61 (61\%) & 62 (62\%) \\ > 60 \text{ years} & 39 (39\%) & 38 (38\%) \\ \hline \textbf{Gender} & & & & \\ Female & 51 (51\%) & 51 (51\%) \\ Male & 49 (49\%) & 49 (49\%) \\ \hline \textbf{Educacional Level} & & & \\ Illiterate/ Incomplete primary & 26 (26\%) & 29 (29\%) \\ Complete primary/ Incomplete & 34 (34\%) & 28 (28\%) \\ elementary & & & \\ Complete elementary/Incomplete high & 12 (12\%) & 15 (15\%) \\ school & & & \\ Complete high school/ Incomplete & 23 (23\%) & 19 (19\%) \\ college & & & \\ Undergraduate degree & 5 (5\%) & 9 (\%) \\ \hline \textbf{Socioeconomic condition} & & \\ A1 & 0 (0\%) & (0\%) \\ A2 & 1 (1\%) & 3 (3\%) \\ B1 & 0 (0\%) & 5 (5\%) \\ B2 & 13 (13\%) & 16 (16\%) \\ C & 57 (57\%) & 55 (55\%) \\ \hline \end{tabular}$			
Gender         EF (65.6)         Female           Female         51 (51%)         51 (51%)           Male         49 (49%)         49 (49%)           Educacional Level         Educacional Level         Educacional Level           Illiterate/ Incomplete primary         26 (26%)         29 (29%)           Complete primary/ Incomplete         34 (34%)         28 (28%)           elementary         26 (26%)         29 (29%)           Complete elementary/Incomplete         34 (34%)         28 (28%)           elementary         0         15 (15%)           school         23 (23%)         19 (19%)           college         0         0           Undergraduate degree         5 (5%)         9 (%)           Socioeconomic condition         41         0 (0%)         (0%)           A1         0 (0%)         5 (5%)         13 (13%)         16 (16%)           B1         0 (0%)         5 (5%)         55 (55%)         55 (55%)	0	61 (61%)	62 (62%)
Female $51 (51\%)$ $51 (51\%)$ Male $49 (49\%)$ $49 (49\%)$ Educacional Level $49 (49\%)$ Illiterate/ Incomplete primary $26 (26\%)$ $29 (29\%)$ Complete primary/ Incomplete $34 (34\%)$ $28 (28\%)$ elementary $20 (29\%)$ $20 (29\%)$ Complete elementary/Incomplete high $12 (12\%)$ $15 (15\%)$ school $23 (23\%)$ $19 (19\%)$ complete high school/ Incomplete $23 (23\%)$ $19 (19\%)$ college $5 (5\%)$ $9 (\%)$ Socioeconomic condition $1 (1\%)$ $3 (3\%)$ A1 $0 (0\%)$ $(0\%)$ A2 $1 (1\%)$ $3 (3\%)$ B1 $0 (0\%)$ $5 (5\%)$ B2 $13 (13\%)$ $16 (16\%)$ C $57 (57\%)$ $55 (55\%)$	> 60 years	39 (39%)	38 (38%)
Male         49 (49%)         49 (49%)           Educacional Level         Illiterate/ Incomplete primary         26 (26%)         29 (29%)           Complete primary/ Incomplete         34 (34%)         28 (28%)           elementary         34 (34%)         28 (28%)           elementary         Complete elementary/Incomplete high         12 (12%)         15 (15%)           school         20 (29%)         15 (15%)         15 (15%)           Complete elementary/Incomplete high         12 (12%)         15 (15%)           school         23 (23%)         19 (19%)           college         23 (23%)         19 (19%)           Male         0 (0%)         0 (0%)           A1         0 (0%)         0 (0%)           A2         1 (1%)         3 (3%)           B1         0 (0%)         5 (5%)           B2         13 (13%)         16 (16%)           C         57 (57%)         55 (55%)	Gender		
Educacional Level       26 (26%)       29 (29%)         Illiterate/ Incomplete primary       26 (26%)       29 (29%)         Complete primary/ Incomplete       34 (34%)       28 (28%)         elementary       26 (26%)       29 (29%)         Complete primary/ Incomplete       34 (34%)       28 (28%)         elementary       26 (26%)       29 (29%)         Complete primary/ Incomplete       34 (34%)       28 (28%)         school       20 (12%)       15 (15%)         School       23 (23%)       19 (19%)         college       23 (23%)       19 (19%)         Undergraduate degree       5 (5%)       9 (%)         Socioeconomic condition       3 (3%)         A1       0 (0%)       (0%)         A2       1 (1%)       3 (3%)         B1       0 (0%)       5 (5%)         B2       13 (13%)       16 (16%)         C       57 (57%)       55 (55%)	Female	51 (51%)	51 (51%)
Illiterate/ Incomplete primary       26 (26%)       29 (29%)         Complete primary/ Incomplete       34 (34%)       28 (28%)         elementary       Complete elementary/Incomplete high       12 (12%)       15 (15%)         school       Image: Complete high school/ Incomplete       23 (23%)       19 (19%)         college       Image: Complete high school/ Incomplete       23 (23%)       19 (19%)         college       Image: Complete high school/ Incomplete       25 (5%)       9 (%)         Socioeconomic condition       Image: Complete high school       10 (0%)       (0%)         A1       0 (0%)       (0%)       3 (3%)       16 (16%)         B1       0 (0%)       5 (5%)       16 (16%)       16 (16%)       16 (16%)         C       57 (57%)       55 (55%)       16 (16%) </td <td>Male</td> <td>49 (49%)</td> <td>49 (49%)</td>	Male	49 (49%)	49 (49%)
Complete primary/ Incomplete       34 (34%)       28 (28%)         elementary       Complete elementary/Incomplete high       12 (12%)       15 (15%)         school       Complete high school/ Incomplete       23 (23%)       19 (19%)         college       Undergraduate degree       5 (5%)       9 (%)         Socioeconomic condition       1 (1%)       3 (3%)         A1       0 (0%)       (0%)         A2       1 (1%)       3 (3%)         B1       0 (0%)       5 (5%)         B2       13 (13%)       16 (16%)         C       57 (57%)       55 (55%)	Educacional Level		
elementary       Complete elementary/Incomplete high       12 (12%)       15 (15%)         school       Complete high school/ Incomplete       23 (23%)       19 (19%)         college       Undergraduate degree       5 (5%)       9 (%)         Socioeconomic condition       A1       0 (0%)       (0%)         A2       1 (1%)       3 (3%)         B1       0 (0%)       5 (5%)         B2       13 (13%)       16 (16%)         C       57 (57%)       55 (55%)	Illiterate/ Incomplete primary	26 (26%)	29 (29%)
Complete elementary/Incomplete high       12 (12%)       15 (15%)         school       Complete high school/ Incomplete       23 (23%)       19 (19%)         college       Undergraduate degree       5 (5%)       9 (%)         Socioeconomic condition       A1       0 (0%)       (0%)         A2       1 (1%)       3 (3%)         B1       0 (0%)       5 (5%)         B2       13 (13%)       16 (16%)         C       57 (57%)       55 (55%)	Complete primary/ Incomplete	34 (34%)	28 (28%)
school       Complete high school/ Incomplete       23 (23%)       19 (19%)         college       23 (23%)       19 (19%)         Undergraduate degree       5 (5%)       9 (%)         Socioeconomic condition       0 (0%)       (0%)         A1       0 (0%)       (0%)         A2       1 (1%)       3 (3%)         B1       0 (0%)       5 (5%)         B2       13 (13%)       16 (16%)         C       57 (57%)       55 (55%)	elementary		
Complete high school/ Incomplete college $23 (23\%)$ $19 (19\%)$ Undergraduate degree $5 (5\%)$ $9 (\%)$ Socioeconomic condition $0 (0\%)$ $(0\%)$ A1 $0 (0\%)$ $(0\%)$ A2 $1 (1\%)$ $3 (3\%)$ B1 $0 (0\%)$ $5 (5\%)$ B2 $13 (13\%)$ $16 (16\%)$ C $57 (57\%)$ $55 (55\%)$		12 (12%)	15 (15%)
college       5 (5%)       9 (%)         Socioeconomic condition       0 (0%)       (0%)         A1       0 (0%)       (0%)         A2       1 (1%)       3 (3%)         B1       0 (0%)       5 (5%)         B2       13 (13%)       16 (16%)         C       57 (57%)       55 (55%)			10 (100)
Undergraduate degree       5 (5%)       9 (%)         Socioeconomic condition          A1       0 (0%)       (0%)         A2       1 (1%)       3 (3%)         B1       0 (0%)       5 (5%)         B2       13 (13%)       16 (16%)         C       57 (57%)       55 (55%)		23 (23%)	19 (19%)
Socioeconomic condition           A1         0 (0%)         (0%)           A2         1 (1%)         3 (3%)           B1         0 (0%)         5 (5%)           B2         13 (13%)         16 (16%)           C         57 (57%)         55 (55%)	-	5 (5%)	9 (%)
A10 (0%)(0%)A21 (1%)3 (3%)B10 (0%)5 (5%)B213 (13%)16 (16%)C57 (57%)55 (55%)		5 (570)	
A2       1 (1%)       3 (3%)         B1       0 (0%)       5 (5%)         B2       13 (13%)       16 (16%)         C       57 (57%)       55 (55%)		0 (0%)	(0%)
B1       0 (0%)       5 (5%)         B2       13 (13%)       16 (16%)         C       57 (57%)       55 (55%)		× ,	· · · ·
B213 (13%)16 (16%)C57 (57%)55 (55%)			
C 57 (57%) 55 (55%)			
			, , ,
	D	26 (26%)	21 (21%)

TABLE 01 - GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY POPULATION

E	3 (3%)	0 (0%)
Dental habits		
Last visit to the dentist		
< 1 years	31 (31%)	46 (46%)
> 1 years	69 (69%)	54 (54%)
Number of teeth		
< 20 teeth	73 (73%)	61 (61%)
$\geq 20$ teeth	27 (27%)	39 (39%)
Toothbrushes/ day		
0	3 (3%)	1 (1%)
1	10 (10%)	9 (9%)
2	25 (25%)	23 (23%)
3	50 (50%)	59 (59%)
4	11 (11%)	5 (5%)
5	1 (1%)	2 (2%)

The analysis of the OHIP-14 scores between the control and CKD groups did not show statistically significant differences between groups (Table 2). Analysis of the SF-36 questionnaire showed lower general QoL was observed in the CKD group in the internal domains "functional barrier" and "physically limited." On the other hand, lower general QoL was observed in the control group in the internal domain "limitation by emotional aspects" (Table 2).

		AND CONTROL	AND CONTROL GROUPS		
		CONTROL	CKD	Valor de p	
		GROUP	GROUP		
		$(\overline{X} \pm \mathrm{sd})$	$(\overline{X} \pm \mathrm{sd})$		
	OHIP-14				
	Total Sum	6,06 (± 7,44)	4,67 (± 6,52)	0,2209	
	FL	0,98 (± 1,63)	0,72 (± 1,42)	0,2726	
ins	РР	1,72 (± 1,84)	1,30 (± 1,80)	0,0515	
P-14 Domains	PDF	1,30 (± 1,88)	1,19 (± 1,95)	0,5470	
4 D	PDT	0,56 (± 1,22)	0,37 (± 1,05)	0,3831	
IP-1	MD	0,97 (± 1,86)	0,76 (± 1,37)	0,9571	
HO	SD	0,16 (± 0,58)	0,02 (±0,20)	0,3296	
	Н	0,37 (± 1,09)	0,31 (± 0,84)	0,9854	

### TABLE 02 – COMPARISION OF OHIP-14 AND SF-36 QUESTIONNAIRES BETWEEN CKD AND CONTROL GROUPS

	SF-36			
	PF	73,52 (± 23,02)	55,60 (± 30,15)	0,0001*
	RF	65,75 (± 39,03)	52,00 (± 41,23)	0,0194*
omains	BP	57,15 (± 28,81)	58,25 (± 29,93)	0,8863
36 Dom	GH	48,86 (± 19,59)	52,22 (± 21,02)	0,2168
	VIT	64,91 (± 21,86)	61,40 (± 25,43)	0,4473
SF-	SF	84,68 (±25,73)	79,62 (± 27,15)	0,1317
	RE	70,03 (±39,41)	80,00 (± 37,90)	0,0428*
	MH	66,40 (± 22,53)	68,36 (± 20,81)	0,6036

\* indicates statistically significant difference, p < 0.05. Mann-Whitney test.

 $\overline{x}$  :: mean; sd: standart deviation; FL: functional limitation; PP: phyical pain; PDF: psycological discomfort; PDT: physical disability; MD: mental disability; SD: social disability; H: handicap; PF: physical functioning; RF: role physical; BP: bodility pain; GH: general health; VIT: Vitality; SF: social functioning; RE: role emotional; MH: mental health.

The degree of relationship between dental characteristics (tooth brushing, number of teeth and visits to the dentist) and OHIP-14 questionnaire values (total and domain) (Table 3) showed a weak negative correlation between the total OHIP-14 mean, brushing and dentist visits. Was found a weak negative correlation in domains of psychological incapacity and disability and the number of brushings. Also, a weak negative correlation the physical disability and psychological incapacity domains and the time of the last visit to the dentist.

		TOOTHBRUSHES	NUMBER OF	VISITS TO
		(r <sup>2</sup> / <i>p value</i> )	<b>TEETH</b> (r <sup>2</sup> / <i>p value</i> )	THE DENTIST (r <sup>2</sup> / p value)
	OHIP-14			
TOTAL SUM		-0,2063/ 0,0393*	0,0297/0,7695	-0,2572 /
				0,0097*
SI	FL	-0,1644/ 0,1020	-0,0315/ 0,7553	-0,1028 / 0,3087
OHIP-14 Domains	РР	- 0,1463/ 0,1463	-0,1115/ 0,2692	-0,1939 / 0,0531
14 D(	PDF	-0,0907/ 0,3696	0,1134/0,2610	-0,1899 / 0,0583
-dlf	PDT	-0,1195/ 0,2364	-0,0895/0,3760	-0,2040 /
ī				0,0417*

TABLE 03 - SPEARMAN'S CORRELATION BETWEEN DENTAL HABITS AND OHIP-14

MD	-0,2179/ 0,0294*	0,0729/ 0,4707	-0,3023 /
			0,0022*
SD	-0,1122/ 0,2662	-0,0368/ 0,7162	-0,0928 / 0,3586
Н	-0,2063/ 0,0393*	-0,0935/ 0,3547	-0,1646 / 0,1017

Spearman's Correlation.

 $r^2$ : Coefficient od Spearman Correlation; FL: functional limitation; PP: phyical pain; PDF: psycological discomfort; PDT: physical disability; MD: mental disability; SD: social disability; H: handicap.

The internal reliability of the questionnaires was evaluated using Cronbach's coefficient alpha ( $\alpha$ ). The minimum acceptable value to consider a reliable questionnaire is 0.70. In the present study, all  $\alpha$  had results  $\geq$  0.80. All, therefore, were considered to have high internal consistency.

### **4 DISCUSSION**

CKD is an irreversible and progressive condition and is considered a global health problem. The objective of treatment is to replace renal function and improve QoL. The authors demonstrated that oral condition can influence the QoL of patients with chronic diseases once communication, selfesteem and daily life activities are affected.

In the present study, when comparing the control and CKD groups paired by age, gender and number of teeth, the results showed a low impact of oral health on QoL. The results of the present study were contrary to findings in the literature, which pointed to a high impact of oral condition on the QoL of individuals with chronic diseases (e.g., diabetes mellitus, respiratory diseases, neurodegenerative diseases and CKD).

Our results, different from those found in the literature and what we expected, can be explained by the presence of a multidisciplinary team in the HSCMPG hemodialysis service. This was not mentioned in previous studies. The multidisciplinary team, comprising a social worker, nurse, psychologist, physician and nutritionist, is responsible for assessing patients' needs, including self-reported dental complaints, and conducting referrals to specialized services. This is an interesting accidental discovery that the number of participants reporting that their last visit to the dentist was less than 1 year ago was higher in the CKD group (46%) than in the control group (31%). This data can also be a reflection of multidisciplinary care.

The relationship between dental characteristics (tooth brushing, number of teeth and visits to the dentist) and the values of the OHIP-14 questionnaire (total sum and domain) showed a weak negative correlation between the total OHIP-14 mean and brushing and visits to the dentist. This is

31861

similar to the correlation proposed by Pakpour et al. (2016), who observed that irregular tooth brushing habits had lower values of QoL related to oral health.

In addition, the present study evaluated the general QoL of patients with CKD using the SF-36 questionnaire. In this study, the CKD group had the worst scores for the two domains "functional capacity" and "limitation by physical aspects." These results corroborate findings in the literature, which observed that CKD causes changes in the individual's daily life and creates limitations, impacting poor scores in the domains related to functional capacity and limitation by physical aspects.

As a limitation of the present study, we highlight the non-accomplishment of a clinical examination to obtain dental parameters, which could be used as additional data the self-perception of oral health in the discussion of the present results. This limitation occurred because the study site did not have the infrastructure and professionals to perform this dental care during the project execution period. However, the implementation of this project guided the implementation of new public policies on oral health at the study site and influenced the addition of a dentist to the active multidisciplinary team, implying the improvement of intervention actions and prevention of the pathological condition of the individual.

Based on the results described, it can be concluded that, in patients with CKD on hemodialysis, oral health has a low impact on QoL. In addition, it was observed that the lower the number of toothbrushes and the fewer visits to the dentist, the greater the value of OHIP-14 and, consequently, the greater the impact of oral health on QoL. In addition, our results indicate that CKD patients have worse QoL in the internal domains of functional capacity and limitation by physical aspects.

### REFERENCES

The WHOQOL Group. The World Health Organization Quality of Life assessment (WHOQOL): position paper from the World Health Organization. Soc Sci Med, v. 41, n. 10, p. 1403-1409, nov. 1995.

MIELCK, A.; VOGELMANN, M.; LEIDL, R. Health-related quality of life and socioeconomic status: inequalities among adults with a chronic disease. Health and quality of life outcomes, BioMed Central, v. 12, n. 1, p. 58, 2014.

VILHENA, E. et al. Psychosocial factors as predictors of quality of life in chronic portuguese patients. Health and quality of life outcomes, BioMed Central, v. 12, n. 1, p. 3, 2014.

JU, W.; SMITH, S.; KRETZLER, M. Genomic biomarkers for chronic kidney disease. Translational Research, Elsevier, v. 159, n. 4, p. 290–302, 2012.

LÓPEZ-NOVOA, J. M. et al. Etiopathology of chronic tubular, glomerular and renovascular nephropathies: clinical implications. Journal of translational medicine, BioMed Central, v. 9, n. 1, p. 13, 2011.

KHALIL, A. A. et al. Under-diagnosed chronic kidney disease in jordanian adults: prevalence and correlates. Journal of renal care, Wiley Online Library, 2017.

BAIJU, R. et al. Oral health and quality of life: Current concepts. Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR, JCDR Research & Publications Private Limited, v. 11, n. 6, p. ZE21, 2017.

THIRUVENKADAM, G. et al. Oral health-related quality of life of children seeking orthodontic treatment based on child oral health impact profile: A cross-sectional study. Contemporary clinical dentistry, Med know Publications, v. 6, n. 3, p. 396, 2015.

CICCIÙ, M. et al. Relationship between oral health and its impact on the quality life of alzheimer's disease patients: a supportive care trial. International journal of clinical and experimental medicine, e-Century Publishing Corporation, v. 6, n. 9, p. 766, 2013.

NIKBIN, A.et al. Oralhealth-related qualityoflifeindiabetic patients: comparisonofthe persian version of geriatric oral health assessment index and oral health impact profile: A descriptive-analytic study. Journal of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders, BioMed Central, v. 13, n. 1, p. 32, 2014.

SALTNES, S. S. et al. Oral health-related quality-of-life and mental health in individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (copd). Acta Odontologica Scandinavica, Taylor & Francis, v. 73, n. 1, p. 14–20, 2015.

SHARMA, P. et al. Association between periodontitis and mortality in stages 3–5 chronic kidney disease: Nhanes iii and linked mortality study. Journal of clinical periodontology, Wiley Online Library, v. 43, n. 2, p. 104–113, 2016.

WILSON, S. R. et al. Asthma outcomes: quality of life. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Elsevier, v. 129, n. 3, p. S88–S123, 2012.

GORDIA, A. P.; QUADROS, T. M. B. d.; CAMPOS, W. d. Sociodemographic variables as determinant of the environment domain of quality of life of adolescents. Ciencia & saude coletiva, SciELO Public Health, v. 14, n. 6, p. 2261–2268, 2009.

CICONELLI, R. M. et al. Brazilian-portuguese version of the sf-36. a reliable and valid quality of life outcome measure. Rev Bras Reumatol, v. 39, n. 3, p. 143–50, 1999.

SLADE, G. D. Derivation and validation of a short-form oral health impact profile. Community dentistry and oral epidemiology, Wiley Online Library, v. 25, n. 4, p. 284–290, 1997.

OLIVEIRA, B. H.; NADANOVSKY, P. Psychometric properties of the brazilian version of the oral health impact profile–short form. Community dentistry and oral epidemiology, Wiley Online Library, v. 33, n. 4, p. 307–314, 2005.

BLAND, J. M.; ALTMAN, D. G. Cronbach's alpha. BMJ: British Medical Journal, BMJ Group, v. 314, n. 7080, p. 572, 1997.

PAKPOUR, A. H. et al. A case-control study on oral health-related quality of life in kidney disease patients undergoing haemodialysis. Clinical oral investigations, Springer, v. 19, n. 6, p. 1235–1243, 2015.OLIVEIRA, A. P. B. et al. Quality of life in hemodialysis patients and the relationship with mortality, hospitalizations and poor treatment adherence. Jornal Brasileiro de Nefrologia, SciELO Brasil, v. 38, n. 4, p. 411–420, 2016.

OLIVEIRA, A. P. B. et al. Quality of life in hemodialysis patients and the relationship with mortality, hospitalizations and poor treatment adherence. Jornal Brasileiro de Nefrologia, SciELO Brasil, v. 38, n. 4, p. 411–420, 2016.

KRAUS, M. A. et al. Intensive hemodialysis and health-related quality of life. American Journal of Kidney Diseases, Elsevier, v. 68, n. 5, p. S33–S42, 2016.

ZOUARI, L. et al. Quality of life in chronic hemodialysis patients: about 71 cases. La Tunisie medicale, v. 94, n. 1, p. 40–45, 2016.